IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In Re the Application of:

| FLOCKHART et al. |)
| Serial No.: 10/673,118 |)
| Filed: September 26, 2003 |)
| Atty. File No.: 4366-106 |)
| For: "METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR LOAD BALANCING WORK ON A

FOT: "METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR LOAD BALANCING WORK ON A NETWORK OF SERVERS BASED ON THE PROBABILITY OF BEING SERVICED WITHIN A SERVICE TIME GOAL"

Group Art Unit: 3676

Examiner: WAI, ERIC CHARLES

Confirmation No.: 9237

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION

IHEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS CORRESPONDENCE IS BEING TRANSMITTED VIA THE OFFICE ELECTRONIC FILING SYSTEM IN ACCORDANCE WITH 37 CFR \$1.6(a)(4) ON A CORDANCE WITH 37 CFR

SHERIDAN ROSS P.C.

Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir

On April 21, 2008, the undersigned received a telephone message from Examiner Wai regarding the above-identified patent application. In subsequent telephone conversations held between Examiner Wai and the undersigned on April 22, 2008, the Examiner informed the undersigned that, as part of reopening prosecution following the filing of a Notice of Appeal by the Applicant, the Examiner had identified additional prior art believed to be relevant to the pending claims. In particular, the Examiner identified U.S. Patent No. 7,013,344 to Megiddo ("Megiddo"). However, the portion of the Megiddo patent identified by the Examiner as being particularly relevant makes no mention of calculating a number of opportunities to service a work request within a target time, as required by the claims. The Examiner indicated that such a disclosure was considered to be met by the discussion of the calculation of a probability measure and the assignment of tasks to machines having higher levels of available capacity at columns 4 and 5 of Megiddo. However, as argued by the undersigned to the Examiner, although Megiddo may be taken as disclosing metrics for expressing the capacity of computers, the failure of that

Application Serial No. 10/673,118 Interview Summary

Date: 4 23, 2008

reference to disclose calculating a number of opportunities to service a work request within a target time as claimed constitutes a failure to meet each and every element of the claims.

Accordingly, it is the undersigned's position that the pending claims are patentable over the Megiddo reference. Moreover, the deficiencies of the references previously cited with respect to the pending claims are not addressed by Megiddo, even if Megiddo were combined with those other references. No agreement regarding allowable subject matter was reached.

Respectfully submitted,

SHERIDAN ROSS P.C.

Bodley M. Krienner

Registration No. 44,189 1560 Broadway, Suite 1200 Denver, Colorado 80202-5141

(303) 863-9700

2